Walk, Roger A.
From: Seeman, Jeffrey I
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2000 5:15 PM
To: 'Cathy Ellis'; Podraza, Ken F.; Seeman, Jeffrey I; Kinser, Robin D.; Counts, Mary Ellen; Cox, Richard H.; Laffoon, Susan; Hsu, Frank; Callicutt, Charlene; Sanders, Edward; Walk, Roger A.
Subject: RE: Status

Cathy, Thank you very much for your note, which stimulates my response.

What process do we envision regarding a first draft [or draftS, should this work result in 2 publications, one on the validity of the FTC method and a second on the effect (none) of ammonia compounds in tobacco on nicotine delivery]? Will someone draft an outline for us to see and review, prior to any intense writing?

As so many of us do a lot of travel, we need to schedule the meeting such that as many of those involved can attend. Should we ask one of the secretaries to gather together the open dates for those named above.

Many folks continually ask me when our paper will be submitted. I understand from Tom Perfetti (RJR) that he and others are soon to finish a related experimental study, and they may have already begun to write their work up for publication. He has asked about our paper, so that he can reference it.

All best regards to all.

-----Original Message-----
From: Cathy Ellis [SMTP:clellis@home.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2000 4:57 PM
To: Susan W. Laffoon; Frank S. Hsu; Charlene H. Callicutt
Cc: Ken F. Podraza; Jeffrey I. Seeman; Kinser, Robin D.; Mary Ellen Counts; Richard H. Cox
Subject: Status

Hi Everyone!
I thank you for the data recently received and want you to know that I have recently reviewed every sheet of paper and data point I have to determine where we are with the publication of the ammonia cigarette study. What I have is being compiled in spreadsheet form to facilitate the creation of charts. When these are complete, I would like to ask that we review them together.

From what I can tell at this time, here is what I don't have that I need:

1. Susan or Charlene - these data exist but I only have the mean and SD. I need the three data points for MS ammonia on the second set of cigarettes (167-171). Frank recently sent me the data for IM16, Merit Ultra Lights, Cambridge Lowest and 1R4F. Thanks.
Susan - once I get all the data together in a spreadsheet I will ask you to do the stats. (I will send you the spreadsheet). When Cindi wrote her first memo, most of the stats were only done on the data from 167-171. We will need to compare all nine cigarettes in the same test so I can chart these together. The stats on Franks minor alk data are fine as all models are included. Thanks.

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/qggj0001

2. Frank - what is the status of the XAD efficiency experiments?? This is critical information to our bottom line based on my recent reading of Farones depositions and "expert" reports. If we can definitively prove we are collecting most of the nicotine on a Cambridge pad (particulate and "gas phase") and added ammonia doesn't "fool" the FTC test then over the years FTC nicotine HAS been a good relative indicator. This is important information for both legal and regulatory reasons. Since there are many experiments that could be designed to address this issue (some of which we began to explore in our meeting last fall), perhaps we should meet and decide on the most definitive and concise way to approach this issue. Frank, please let me know where we are on this and where you want to go with it.

Ken, Jeff, Robin, Mary Ellen and Dick: This is just a status report to keep you informed and no action is required on your part (unless you want to put your two cents in).

Hope all is well with all of you. I'm looking forward to getting this information out in the most polished and professional manner possible.

Best Regards,
Cathy

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/qggj0001